

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/036,989	11/06/2001	Jaime Simon	61350A	5337
109 7	7590 07/22/2004		EXAMINER	
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION P. O. BOX 1967			BERKO, RETFORD O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	MI 48641-1967		1615	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/036,989	SIMON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Retford Berko	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <u>9/2/04</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	oxtimes This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are value (claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	D accepted or b) objected to n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement: Applicant's amendment filed September 2, 2003 is acknowledged.

Status of Claims

a. The status of the claims is as follows:

The status of the claims is as follows:

Claim3 is cancelled in view of applicant's amendment.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-27 are pending following applicant's amendment.

No new claims were added.

- b. The text of those sections of title 35 U.S.C. not included in this office action can be found in a prior Office Action.
- c. The rejection of claims 1, 2 and 4-27 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imondi et al (US 4, 143, 130) in view of Hiler et al (US 6, 132, 706) has been withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments.
- d. This Office Action contains New Grounds of Rejections.

New Grounds of Rejections:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 19-25 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as anticipated by Samejima et al (EP 0077956).

The claims are directed toward a composition for removing fluid from the intestinal tract of a host comprising enteric-coated, non-systemic, non-toxic, water-absorbing polymer as active ingredient, wherein the water-absorbing polymer is capable of absorbing at least 10-40 its weight

Art Unit: 1615

in physiological saline and wherein the polymer is a polysaccharide. The claims are also directed to the composition wherein the polymer is polyallyl amine; in bead form.

- 2. Samejima et al (Patent '956) teaches enteric-coated microcapsules comprising water-swellable polymer material in the core, said polymer is capable of absorbing water (1.2–1.5 times its weight; page 5, lin 22 to page 6, lin 9 and page 27, lin 1-5). Patent '956 teaches that the composition in granule formulation (page 22, lin 1-10). Patent '956 also teaches that the composition in the form of microcapsule, is capable of releasing the active component in the intestinal tract and maintain the core material in the stomach (page 2, lin 16-20).
- 3. Claims 19-25 are anticipated by Patent '956.
- 4. Claims 1, 7, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as anticipated by Thompson et al (US 5, 004, 603) and by Berger et al (US 4, 470, 975).

The claims are directed toward a method for removing fluid from the intestine of host by delivering effective amount of water-absorbent polymer to the intestinal tract wherein the polymer is capable of absorbing at least 10, 20 times its weight of physiological saline. The claims are also drawn toward the method wherein the polymer is formed by polymerization of monomers of acrylate or the polymer is made up of polymerized acrylic acid monomers or salts thereof or the polymer is a polysaccharide.

- 5. As in claim 1, 7, 8 and 9, Patent '603 teaches a method of administering a composition to ruminants, such feeding composition comprises of acrylic acid polymer that is capable of absorbing at least 10 times its weight of fluid at pH 7.0 (abstract and col 3, lin 35-60).
- 6. As in claims 1, 7-9; Patent '975 teaches a composition and method for removing fluid or edema from the gastrointestinal tract of an animal by administering to said animal dextran; a

Art Unit: 1615

polysaccharide that is a polymer made of monomers of carbohydrate moieties (abstract, col 1, lin 55 and col 10, lin 5-30).

7. Claims 1, 7-9 are anticipated by Patent '603 and Patent '975.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Claims 1-27 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Samejima et al (Patent '956) in view of Hilder et al (US 6, 132, 706) further in view of Berger et al et al (US 4, 470, 975).

The claims are drawn to a method for removing fluid from the intestine of host by delivering effective amount of water-absorbent polymer to the intestinal tract wherein the polymer is capable of absorbing at least 10 times its weight of physiological saline. The claims are also drawn toward the method of removing fluid wherein the polymer is a polysaccharide; wherein the enteric coating is methacrylic acid derivative or hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, methacrylic acid polymer; wherein the condition being treated is edema and wherein the polymer used is a polyallyl amine; and the polymer composition is in bead form.

9. We discussed previously in paragraph 2 that Samejima et al (Patent '956) discloses enteric-coated microcapsules comprising water-swellable polymer material in the core. Patent '956 discloses a polymer is capable of absorbing water (1.2 –1.5 times its weight; page 5, lin 22 to page 6, lin 9 and page 27, lin 1-5). Patent '956 also discloses that the composition in granule formulation (page 22, lin 1-10). Patent '956 also discloses that the composition coated; is in the form of a microcapsule, and is capable of releasing the active component in the intestinal tract and maintain the core material in the stomach (page 2, lin 16-20).

Art Unit: 1615

10. Patent does not disclose the use of polyallyl amine polymer and does not disclose the use of polysaccharide polymer in removing fluid or edema.

- 11. Hilder et al (Patent '706) discloses an invention wherein a polymer composition comprising polyallyl-guanidinium chloride is used for treating a patient with edema, by removing excess phosphate (found as a component of physiological saline) from blood. (abstract, col 5, lin 45-55 and col 11, lin 60-65). Patent '706 discloses oral administration of the polymer composition (col 12, lin 55-65).
- Berger et al (Patent '975) discloses a composition and method for removing fluid or edema from the gastrointestinal tract of an animal by administering to said animal dextran; a polysaccharide that is a polymer made of monomers of carbohydrate moieties (abstract, col 1, lin 55 and col 10, lin 5-30).
- 13. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make a composition comprising of water absorbent polymer and use the composition in the form of tablet or capsule for treatment of excess fluid in the gastrointestinal tract and as a means for controlling phosphate in the body as in the cited art (e.g. Patent '706, abstract, col 3, lin 50 and col 4, lin 5-35). By coating the composition with enteric polymer, one of ordinary skill would expect to obtain an intact and therefore effective composition for removing excess fluid from the body---without the enteric coating, the polymer in the composition (e.g. polysaccharides) would be more susceptible to degradation by the acidic environment of the stomach (see Patent '975; col 4. lin 55-60 for the suggestion or motivation for enterically coating the composition). Therefore the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1615

Response To Arguments

- 14. Applicant's arguments filed September 2, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not found persuasive.
- 15. First, Claims 1, 7, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as anticipated by Thompson et al (US 5, 004, 603) and by Berger et al (US 4, 470, 975). Second, Claims 1-27 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Samejima et al (Patent '956) in view of Hilder et al (US 6, 132, 706) further in view of Berger et al et al (US 4, 470, 975).
- 16. Applicants argue that the present invention is distinguished from the invention disclosed in the Samejima reference (Patent '956) in that the present invention is directed to the use of a water-absorbent polymer as the active ingredient while the water-absorbent polymer in Patent '956 is not the active material.
- 17. In response to this argument, Patent '956 discloses that the water-swellable polymer material in the composition forms the core contained in the microcapsule and that it is the active component (page 2, lin 10-20).
- 18. Applicants argue that while the present invention claims that the composition is useful for removing fluid from the intestinal tract, that the present invention is distinguished from the invention disclosed in the Samejima reference (Patent '956), which does not teach or disclose any use for the composition.
- 19. In response, the scope of the disclosures in the Samejima reference (Patent '956) read on the claims of the present invention in that the claims of the present invention, when given the broadest interpretation, is a composition comprising enterically-coated polymer, thus permitting the addition of ingredients that may not necessarily be disclosed in the Patent '956. Furthermore,

Application/Control Number: 10/036,989 Page 7

Art Unit: 1615

because applicant claim a composition, the disclosures in Patent '956 may read on applicant's claims for the composition, it is not necessary for the prior art reference to disclose the use for the composition.

Conclusion

20. No claim is allowed.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Retford Berko** whose telephone number is 571-272-0590. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8.00 am to 5.30 pm

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Thurman K Page**, can be reached on 571-272-0602.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully,

Retford Berko Tel: 571-272-0590 LANGE MILE IN THE STATE OF THE